

NBT Consult Submissions

From: TARSHITO MUSIC <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 9:45 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments We need stringent test on harmful effects of any GMO products with strict labeling on any food items containing GMO so that we,the public can make informed decisions about using these products

Yours sincerely, TARSHITO MUSIC

_____ This email was sent by TARSHITO MUSIC via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however TARSHITO provided an email address (tarshito@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to TARSHITO MUSIC at tarshito@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: carley burdon <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 11:54 AM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

You can not no how GM will affect us in the future. You HAVE to give people the choice if they want to buy it or not. I will not. If they don't have the GM label to let me know then I just wont be buying anything. People have the right to know what they are eating and using. You can't take this away from people. You are putting people at risk and for what??? Money and greed.

Yours sincerely, carley burdon

_____ This email was sent by carley burdon via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however carley provided an email address (hendy@dcsl.net.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to carley burdon at hendy@dcsl.net.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Linda Conyard <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 1:52 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I wish to verbalise my concerns regarding the ideas put forward for implementation that have come out of the above paper.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

It is very clear that much more comprehensive testing is required before anything is released into our food chain.

There are many clear examples of when scientists have decided we need to introduce something to fix a problem, only to have what was introduced become a problem. Cane toads are the perfect example. It's time to stop reacting and start using time proven practices that actually work.

Yours sincerely, Linda Conyard Ocean View, Queensland, 4521, Australia

This email was sent by Linda Conyard via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Linda provided an email address (linda.conyard@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Linda Conyard at linda.conyard@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Peter Brown <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 2:52 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

“Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.”

That's good enough for me.

Australia need to continue to regulate and conduct cas-by-case assessments.

Yours sincerely, Peter Brown Coolum Beach, Queensland, 4573, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Peter Brown via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Peter provided an email address (coolumkids@yahoo.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Peter Brown at coolumkids@yahoo.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Marianne Bekkema <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 3:35 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please stop pushing down peoples throats what people don't want. Years and years ago 75% of the Australian people said NO to genetic engineering, but the Government keeps on pushing till they hope they get what they want. PLEASE STOP IT!!!!

Yours sincerely, Marianne Bekkema Cygnet, Tasmania, 7112, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Marianne Bekkema via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Marianne provided an email address (bekkema.tas@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Marianne Bekkema at bekkema.tas@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jean Stretch <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 4:09 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Remember Mad Cow Disease. Relaxation of rules; lack of testing.

GM is evolution sped up! Yes. But evolution in the wild is the mutation of genes-in-association over time that are tested and fail or pass in the arena of life. Whereas the 'splicing' of genetic material from one organism to another is a rarity. GM involves an IN_CHUNK movement of genetic material that has not been tested-in-long-association-over-time!

I am happy with GM provided the tests are done by independent and creditable scientists. I am highly skeptical of tests done by any company or person or groups of people or governments which would benefit (or stand to lose in any way) financially or politically, from the outcome.

Yours sincerely, Jean Stretch

_____ This email was sent by Jean Stretch via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jean provided an email address (stretchstretch@westnet.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jean Stretch at stretchstretch@westnet.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Richard Stanford <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 4:38 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is essential.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, Richard Stanford

_____ This email was sent by Richard Stanford via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Richard provided an email address (rmg.s@outlook.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Richard Stanford at rmg.s@outlook.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Karin Dowie <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 5:55 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I believe strongly in the freedom of information and choice. I make informed choices and decisions. Australia has strict product labeling, regulations and standards for items such as, toys, clothing, cars, medicines, packaging, food handling practices, licensing, and the list goes on and on. On this example alone, I think any introduction of modified items, especially those we consume, should undergo the same standard of rigorous testing, labelling, licensing and regulations. As a consumer I rely strongly on Australias strict regulations and protection. I choose not to eat highly processed and modified foods. It is not always possible to read labels or understand them, yet I trust our government bodies to ensure the food is produced to the highest standard with our health as a higher priority than the profits of multi billion dollar companies. Personally I believe Australia to be in a unique position in the GM equation? We are a large Island, giving us the ability to be at a very low risk of contamination should Genetic Modification prove to be detremPleaseental to nature. Imagine on a global scale GM proving to be disastoriously detrimental to our survival. An Island the size of Australia remaining protected from GM practices could be what the world needs to survive. At the present point in this debate, Australia remaining 100% GM free, could even attract financial support from global food interests. I think a substantial first step would be to buy back Australian land to ensure control remains within Australia. This would also give us immediate export markets to those farming companies foriegn owned who currently export produce.

The reality is that the current source of the information being used in the GM debate to either support or deny GM at this stage is merely the good opinion of many. There is too little proof with a very high risk of public health at stake. I wonder where this issue sits on a scale such as the ones used for risk assesments in Australias work force. I also believe the demand for organic farm fresh produce is high enough for Australia to produce it on a scale that would be in harmony with nature, support communities and be at affordable prices. Australia could be world leaders in export of high quality nutrious produce. We have many people unemployed who could support this industry. There could be communties based around farms where lower socioeconomical families could have the opportunity to receive fresh produce as part of their gratuities. This in turn can help to relieve the demand on government assistance and go a long way in helping to break the poverty cycle.

Our young generations are very smart. Imagine what they could achieve within such a model.

Yours sincerely, karin dowie Greta, New South Wales, 2334, Australia's

Sent from Samsung tablet

This email was sent by Karin Dowie via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Karin provided an email address (philandkarin@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Karin Dowie at philandkarin@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Rebecca Clark <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 6:16 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please review this! We need to know!!!

Yours sincerely, Rebecca Clark

_____ This email was sent by Rebecca Clark via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Rebecca provided an email address (rclark@nswsnowsports.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Rebecca Clark at rclark@nswsnowsports.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Karen Neubauer <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 6:50 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Karen Neubauer

_____ This email was sent by Karen Neubauer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Karen provided an email address (smallfry78@y7mail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Karen Neubauer at smallfry78@y7mail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: R Reddy <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 7:02 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, Ranjani Reddy Panania, New South Wales, 2213, Australia

_____ This email was sent by R Reddy via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however R provided an email address (ranjani_reddy@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to R Reddy at ranjani_reddy@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Vivien Smith <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 7:22 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Without rigorous and comprehensive safety testing we risk unleashing an evil genie that may not be re-imprisoned in its bottle until a massive amount of damage, possibly including deaths, had been done.

Vivien Smith Yours sincerely,

_____ This email was sent by Vivien Smith via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Vivien provided an email address (smithvivien@yahoo.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Vivien Smith at smithvivien@yahoo.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Susan Di Fazio <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 8:08 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

When the Austrian and Norwegian governments conclude that not enough is known about the “risks” of the new GM techniques pose....did you get that? Risks? We are talking about food and people! There should never be the word ‘risk’ associated with food for humans OR animals.

I think when big multinational corporations start mucking around with genes and food for BIG dollars there is something wrong. They are not helping the world they are profiteering at any cost! I would rather be aligned with Europe than good 'ol USA where they are stuffing up the water, land, food and people.

I want to be a proud Australian where we protect our food, water and land. These are the utmost importance. Once we stuff it up there's no going back.

I say NO to deregulation!

Kind regards Susan Di Fazio

Please read the email tips above for some points you could include in your own comments.

Yours sincerely, Susan Di Fazio Barrack Heights, New South Wales, 2528, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Susan Di Fazio via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Susan provided an email address (susandifazio@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Susan Di Fazio at susandifazio@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Rae Thomas <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 8:38 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GM generally imposes unique risks ---utmost care should be taken & every precaution made to ensure it's safety

Yours sincerely, Rae Thomas Kingswood, South Australia, 5062, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Rae Thomas via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Rae provided an email address (rae.audrey.thomas@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Rae Thomas at rae.audrey.thomas@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Julie St CLAIR-BAKER <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 8:54 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I am opposed to GM modification of food. Any food that contains any products originating from GM modified plants or animals must be clearly labelled as such.

Yours sincerely, Julie CLAIR-BAKER

_____ This email was sent by Julie St CLAIR-BAKER via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Julie provided an email address (j.stclairbaker@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Julie St CLAIR-BAKER at j.stclairbaker@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Fairlie Francis <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 9:03 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern,

Please read the following points as to why new laws governing GM food should not proceed:

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

For the sake of our children, ensure GM food is always labeled.

Yours sincerely, Fairlie Francis Abbotsford, Victoria, 3067, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Fairlie Francis via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Fairlie provided an email address (fairliefrancis@yahoo.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Fairlie Francis at fairliefrancis@yahoo.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Teresa Bowlet <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 9:16 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Sir/Madam

Please do not use Australia as world first in deregulating GEnetic modification techniques against our plants, animals and microbes.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Teresa Bowlet Frankston, Victoria, 3199, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Teresa Bowlet via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Teresa provided an email address (tabowley@optusnet.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Teresa Bowlet at tabowley@optusnet.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Dale Morley <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 9:26 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

I demand that all GM food be assessed for safety because;

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. \

They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Dale Morley

_____ This email was sent by Dale Morley via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Dale provided an email address (dmorley099@hotmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Dale Morley at dmorley099@hotmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Samantha Feher <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 9:52 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Samantha Feher Medina, Western Australia, 6167, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Samantha Feher via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Samantha provided an email address (samanthajfeher@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Samantha Feher at samanthajfeher@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Marina Kelly <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Wednesday, 28 March 2018 9:57 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern,

Any form of genetic manipulation outside of biosecure laboratory conditions poses a potential threat to natural plants and animals including humans. To consider releasing such organisms into the food chain without extremely stringent testing is to pose an unacceptable risk to health be it human or that of the other organisms we share this planet with and depend on for our survival.

For safety purposes all products using genetic modification techniques, including gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants must be independently tested before release. They also must be clearly and unambiguously labelled as being genetically modified irrespective of the type of modification or the amount of GM ingredients composing the item. This way consumers can decide if they want to use a GM product even if it has passed safety testing.

FSANZ must remember that it plays an integral role in protecting the safety of the Australian people even though it stands to reap financial rewards from companies supporting GM applying for approval to release their products. At our current knowledge level, release of any GM product is very much using the Australian people, farming industry, and natural environment as a big experiment. This risk is both unnecessary and unwanted.

Yours sincerely, Marina Kelly

_____ This email was sent by Marina Kelly via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Marina provided an email address (marinakelly.pegasus@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Marina Kelly at marinakelly.pegasus@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.